REMARKS

Claims 1-4 and 16-25 are currently pending in the subject application and are presently under consideration. Claim1 has been amended as shown on page 2 of the Reply to emphasize novel aspects of the invention. Claims 2, 3, and 4 have been amended to correct minor informalities. Entry of the herein amendments is respectfully requested since they do not add any new matter and, therefore, do not require new search or undue consideration. A listing of all claims can be found at pages 2-4. Favorable reconsideration of the subject patent application is respectfully requested in view of the comments and amendments herein.

I. Election/Restriction

The Examiner requires restriction to one of the following two groups of claims:

Group I - Claims 1-4 and 16-23, drawn to a method of capturing and providing demographic information concerning a consumer of products to a manufacturer of such products during transactions in which the consumer utilizes a bar code reader and the Internet for product inquires, classified in Class 705, subclass 10; and

Group II - Claim 24, drawn to a computer-implemented method that facilitates retrieval of information, classified in class 707, subclass 3.

Claim 25, drawn to a computer-implemented method that providing information, classified in class 707, subclass 3.

Applicants' representative hereby elects with traverse Group I (Claims 1-4 and 16-23) drawn to a method of capturing and providing demographic information concerning a consumer of products to a manufacturer of such products during transactions in which the consumer utilizes a bar code reader and the Internet for product inquires, classified in Class 705, subclass 10 for further prosecution on the merits.

II. Rejection of Claims 1-3 and 16-23 Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 1-3 and 16-23 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Hudetz et al. (US Patent 5,978,773) in view of Barnett et al. (US Patent 6,336,099) It is submitted that this rejection be withdrawn for at least the following reasons. Neither Hudetz et al. nor Barnett et al., alone or in combination, teach or suggest applicants' claimed invention.

To reject claims in an application under §103, an examiner must establish a prima facie case of obviousness. A prima facie case of obviousness is established by a showing of three basic criteria. First, there must be some suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the reference or to combine reference teachings. Second there must be a reasonable expectation of success. Finally, the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations. See MPEP §706.02(j).

The subject invention as claimed relates to providing demographic information about a consumer to a product manufacturer by utilizing data packet information that transfers the information inquiry to the manufacturer, or by utilizing information transferred within the web page request, or by employing a Domain Name Service to translate Internet Protocol mapping information, as respectively recited in independent claims 1, 16 and 22. Neither of the cited references teaches nor suggests this feature of the claimed invention.

Hudetz et al. relates to a system and method for using identification codes found on ordinary articles of commerce to access remote computers on a network. As conceded by the examiner, Hudetz et al. does not teach providing the demographic information of a consumer to the manufacturer by utilizing the information inquiry or by utilizing information transferred within the web page request and Barnett et al. is relied upon to overcome the deficiencies of Hudetz et al.

Barnett et al. relates to a method and system for the electronic distribution of product redemption coupons to remote personal computers located at users' homes. The user's demographic as well as coupon selection data is provided back to the online service for subsequent marketing analysis. Barnett et al. describes collecting the demographic information from the user explicitly, "...in order to request certain demographic data from the user

The user has the option of providing the requested information if he so desires." Thus, users demographic data in Barnett et al. is collected in a separate dialog session that is initiated by the server on receiving a product information inquiry, but not within the web page request that transmits the product information inquiry from the client to the online service provider. (See

e.g., col. 4, lns. 17-18; col. 8, lns. 33-37; col 9, lns. 46-53).

Combining Barnet et al. with Hudetz et al. as suggested in the Office Action, will result in a system wherein a user initially provides demographic information to a coupon service provider, followed by printing out the downloaded coupons for presenting to a system that employs an article's UPC to access remote computers on a network. Such is not supplying demographic information to suppliers as a result of an information inquiry/request, as in applicants' claimed invention.

From the foregoing it is clear that neither of the references contemplated such a seamless method of capturing and conveying a consumer's demographic information to a manufacturer, whereby a scanned bar code of a product can be used to access the manufacturer's website for a product information inquiry and in the process, the demographic information of the consumer can be captured and conveyed to the manufacturer by utilizing data packet information that transfers the information inquiry to the manufacturer. Moreover, it is noted that even if the references are combined, applicants' claimed invention does not result. Therefore, the cited references either separately or in combination fail to make the obvious the subject claims. Hence it is requested that this rejection be withdrawn and the subject claims allowed.

Π. Rejection of Claim 4 Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claim 4 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Hudetz et al. and Barnett et al. and further in view of Kaplan et al. (U.S. Patent 5,963,916). This rejection should be withdrawn for at least the following reasons. None of the cited references teach or suggest all limitations recited in the subject claim.

Claim 4 depends from independent claim 1 and, as stated supra, neither Hudetz et al. nor Barnett et al. teach or suggest all limitations of claim 1 and Kaplan et al. fails to make up for the aforementioned deficiencies. Independent claim 1 recites a method of conveying a consumer's demographic information to a manufacturer, whereby a scanned bar code of a product can be used to access the manufacturer's website for a product information inquiry and in the process, the demographic information of the consumer can be captured and conveyed to the manufacturer by utilizing data packet information that transfers the information inquiry to the manufacturer. Kaplan et al. relates to on-line network web site for interactive preview of a portion of a pre-recorded product by the user but does not teach or suggest providing

T075A/TELNP333US

demographic information about the consumer to the product manufacturer by utilizing data packet information transferred to the manufacturer as a result of the information query, as claimed.

Based on at least the foregoing, none of the cited references teach or suggest all claim limitations. Accordingly, withdrawal of this rejection is respectfully requested.

10/016,001

T075A/TELNP333US

CONCLUSION

The present application is believed to be in condition for allowance in view of the above comments and amendments. A prompt action to such end is earnestly solicited.

In the event any fees are due in connection with this document, the Commissioner is authorized to charge those fees to Deposit Account No. 50-1063 [TELNP333US].

Should the Examiner believe a telephone interview would be helpful to expedite favorable prosecution, the Examiner is invited to contact applicants' undersigned representative at the telephone number below.

Respectfully submitted,

AMIN & TUROCY, LLP

Patrica S. Murphy Reg. No. 55,964

AMIN & TUROCY, LLP 24TH Floor, National City Center 1900 E. 9TH Street Cleveland, Ohio 44114 Telephone (216) 696-8730 Facsimile (216) 696-8731